

Asymptotics of Young tableaux in the strip, the d -sums

A. Regev

September 1, 2010

Abstract. The asymptotics of the "strip" sums $S_\ell^{(\alpha)}(n)$ and of their d -sums generalizations $T_{d,ds}^{(\alpha)}(dm)$ (see Definition 1.1) were calculated in [5]. It was recently noticed that when $d > 1$ there is a certain confusion about the relevant notations in [5], and the constant in the asymptotics of these d -sums $T_{d,ds}^{(\alpha)}(dm)$ seems to be off by a certain factor. Based on the techniques of [5] we again calculate the asymptotics of the d -sums $T_{d,ds}^{(\alpha)}(dm)$. We do it here carefully and with complete details. This leads to Theorem 1.2 below, which replaces Corollary 4.4 of [5] in the cases $d > 1$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A16, 34M30.

1 Introduction

Let λ be a partition and $\ell(\lambda)$ the number of non-zero parts of λ . Let f^λ denote the number of standard tableaux of shape λ . For the Young-Frobenius formula for f^λ see for example [2, 2.3.22], and for the "hook" formula see for example [8, corollary 7.21.5].

The asymptotics of the sums $S_\ell^{(\alpha)}(n)$ and of the d -sums $T_{d,ds}^{(\alpha)}(dm)$ (see Definition 1.1) were studied in [5], see [5, Corollary 4.4] (there we used the notation d_λ instead of f^λ). We recently noticed that when $d > 1$ there is a certain confusion about the notations in [5], and the constant in the asymptotics of the d -sums $T_{d,ds}^{(\alpha)}(dm)$ seems to be off by a certain factor.

Based on the techniques of [5] we calculate, with complete details, the asymptotics of the d -sums $T_{d,ds}^{(\alpha)}(dm)$. While the asymptotic formula for the sums $S_\ell^{(\alpha)}(n)$ remain unchanged as in [5], this leads to a new asymptotic formula for the d -sums $T_{d,ds}^{(\alpha)}(dm)$, given in Theorem 1.2 below.

The validity of Theorem 1.2 can be tested as follows. In few cases the d -sums $T_{d,ds}^{(\alpha)}(dm)$ are given by a closed formula, which yield the corresponding asymptotics directly— independent of Theorem 1.2. In all these cases, the direct asymptotics and the asymptotics deduced from Theorem 1.2 — agree, see Section 3.1. Also, for small values of d and s it is possible to write an explicit formula for, say, $T_{d,ds}^{(1)}(dm)$. By Theorem 1.2 $T_{d,ds}^{(1)}(dm) \simeq A(d, s, dm)$.

Now form the ratio $T_{d,ds}^{(1)}(dm)/A(d,s,dm)$. Using, say, "Mathematica", calculate that ratio for increasing values of m , verifying that these values become closer and closer to 1 as m increases. This again tests and indicates the validity of Theorem 1.2.

1.1 The main theorem

The following definition recalls the d -sums from [5].

Definition 1.1. Let $m, s, d \geq 1$, then define

1.

$$B_d(dm) = \{\lambda \vdash dm \mid d \text{ divides all } \lambda'_j\}.$$

Note that $\lambda \in B_d(dm)$ if and only if λ can be written as $\lambda = (\mu_1^d, \mu_2^d, \dots)$ with $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots) \vdash m$, and then d divides $\ell(\lambda)$.

2.

$$B_{d,ds}(dm) = \{\lambda \in B_d(dm) \mid \ell(\lambda) \leq ds\} \quad \text{and}$$

3.

$$T_{d,ds}^{(\alpha)}(dm) = \sum_{\lambda \in B_{d,ds}(dm)} (f^\lambda)^\alpha.$$

4. When $d = 1$ we denote $T_{1,s}^{(\alpha)}(m) = S_s^{(\alpha)}(m)$. Thus

$$S_s^{(\alpha)}(m) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash m, \ell(\lambda) \leq s} (f^\lambda)^\alpha.$$

We correct [5, Corollary 4.4] in the case $d > 1$ by proving the following theorem (see Theorem 3.3 below). Here the variable N is replaced by s .

Theorem 1.2. Let $1 \leq d, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $0 < \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. As $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{d,ds}^{(\alpha)}(dm) &\simeq \\ &\simeq \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{ds-1} \cdot \sqrt{d} \cdot s^{d^2 s^2 / 2} \cdot (2! \cdots (d-1)!)^s \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \right)^{(d^2 s^2 + d^2 s - 2)/2} \cdot (ds)^{dm} \right]^\alpha \\ &\quad \cdot (\sqrt{m})^{s-1} \cdot \left(\frac{d}{s} \right)^{(s-1)(\alpha s + 2)/4} \cdot \frac{d}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{s!} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot (2\pi)^{s/2} \cdot (d^2 \alpha)^{-s/2 - d^2 \alpha s(s-1)/4} \cdot (\Gamma(1 + d^2 \alpha / 2))^{-s} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^s \Gamma(1 + d^2 \alpha j / 2). \end{aligned}$$

2 Asymptotics for a single f^λ

The following proposition corrects (and replaces) [5, (F.1.3)], and is the key for proving Theorem 1.2. Recall the notation

$$D_s(x_1, \dots, x_s) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (x_i - x_j).$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1^d, \dots, \lambda_s^d) \vdash dm = n$. For $1 \leq i \leq s$ write $\lambda_i = m/s + b_i\sqrt{m}$ and assume the b_i are bounded, so $\lambda_i \simeq m/s$. Then, as m goes to infinity,*

$$\begin{aligned} f^\lambda &\simeq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{ds-1} \cdot \sqrt{d} \cdot s^{d^2s^2/2} \cdot (2! \cdots (d-1)!)^s \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\right)^{(d^2s^2+d^2s-2)/2} \cdot (ds)^{dm} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot D_s(b_1, \dots, b_s)^{d^2} \cdot e^{-(ds/2)(b_1^2 + \cdots + b_s^2)} = \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{ds-1} \cdot d^{(d^2s^2+d^2s)/4} \cdot s^{d^2s^2/2} \cdot (2! \cdots (d-1)!)^s \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{dm}}\right)^{(d^2s^2+d^2s-2)/2} \cdot (ds)^{dm} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot D_s(b_1, \dots, b_s)^{d^2} \cdot e^{-(ds/2)(b_1^2 + \cdots + b_s^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Apply, for example, the Young-Frobenius formula for f^λ : First, all $\lambda_i \simeq m/s$, hence we can write

$$f^\lambda \simeq \left(\frac{s}{m}\right)^{ds(ds-1)/2} \cdot \frac{(dm)!}{(\lambda_1!)^d \cdots (\lambda_s!)^d} \cdot H(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s) \quad (1)$$

where $H(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ is the product of factors of the form $\lambda_i - \lambda_j + k$, with various $0 \leq k \leq ds$, and which we now analyze.

For $1 \leq i < j \leq s$ there are d^2 factors of f^λ of the form $\lambda_i - \lambda_j + k$, with various k 's, all of them satisfying $\lambda_i - \lambda_j + k \simeq (b_i - b_j)\sqrt{m}$. The number of pairs (i, j) where $1 \leq i < j \leq s$ is $s(s-1)/2$, and each such pair contributes d^2 times the factor $(b_i - b_j)\sqrt{m}$, hence the factor $D_s(b_1, \dots, b_s)^{d^2} \cdot (\sqrt{m})^{d^2s(s-1)/2}$ in (2) below.

In the cases $i = j$ each of the s blocks (λ_i^d) contributes $D_d(d, d-1, \dots, 1) = 1! \cdot 2! \cdots (d-1)!$, hence the factor $(1! \cdot 2! \cdots (d-1)!)^s$ in (2) below. It follows that

$$f^\lambda \simeq \left(\frac{s}{m}\right)^{ds(ds-1)/2} \cdot (2! \cdots (d-1)!)^s \cdot D_s(b_1, \dots, b_s)^{d^2} \cdot (\sqrt{m})^{d^2s(s-1)/2} \cdot \frac{(dm)!}{(\lambda_1!)^d \cdots (\lambda_s!)^d} \quad (2)$$

Again since $\lambda_i \simeq m/s$,

$$\frac{m!}{(\lambda_1 + s - 1)! \cdots (\lambda_s)!} \simeq \left(\frac{s}{m}\right)^{s(s-1)/2} \cdot \frac{m!}{(\lambda_1!) \cdots (\lambda_s!)}. \quad (3)$$

By [5, Step 3, page 118, with $\sqrt{2\pi}$ replacing and correcting 2π]

$$\frac{m!}{(\lambda_1 + s - 1)! \cdots (\lambda_s)!} \simeq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{s-1} \cdot s^{s^2/2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^{(s^2-1)/2} \cdot s^m \cdot e^{-(s/2)(b_1^2 + \cdots + b_s^2)}, \quad (4)$$

hence by (3) and (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m!}{(\lambda_1!) \cdots (\lambda_s!)} &\simeq \left(\frac{m}{s} \right)^{s(s-1)/2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{s-1} \cdot s^{s^2/2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^{(s^2-1)/2} \cdot s^m \cdot e^{-(s/2)(b_1^2 + \cdots + b_s^2)} = \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{s-1} \cdot s^{s^2/2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^{(s-1)/2} \cdot s^m \cdot e^{-(s/2)(b_1^2 + \cdots + b_s^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Now

$$\frac{(dm)!}{(\lambda_1!)^d \cdots (\lambda_s!)^d} \simeq \frac{(dm)!}{(m!)^d} \cdot \left(\frac{m!}{\lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_s!} \right)^d \quad (6)$$

and by Stirling's formula

$$\frac{(dm)!}{(m!)^d} \simeq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{d-1} \cdot \sqrt{d} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \right)^{d-1} \cdot d^{dm}. \quad (7)$$

It follows from (5), (6) and (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(dm)!}{(\lambda_1!)^d \cdots (\lambda_s!)^d} \simeq \\ &\simeq \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{d-1} \cdot \sqrt{d} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \right)^{d-1} \cdot d^{dm} \right] \cdot \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{s-1} \cdot s^{s^2/2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^{(s-1)/2} \cdot s^m \cdot e^{-(s/2)(b_1^2 + \cdots + b_s^2)} \right]^d = \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{ds-1} \cdot \sqrt{d} \cdot s^{ds/2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \right)^{ds-1} \cdot (ds)^{dm} \cdot e^{-(ds/2)(b_1^2 + \cdots + b_s^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Together with (2) this yields

$$\begin{aligned} f^\lambda &\simeq \left[\left(\frac{s}{m} \right)^{ds(ds-1)/2} \cdot D_s(b_1, \dots, b_s)^{d^2} \cdot (2! \cdots (d-1)!)^s \cdot (\sqrt{m})^{d^2 s(s-1)/2} \right] \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{ds-1} \cdot \sqrt{d} \cdot s^{ds/2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \right)^{ds-1} \cdot (ds)^{dm} \cdot e^{-(ds/2)(b_1^2 + \cdots + b_s^2)} \right] = \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{ds-1} \cdot \sqrt{d} \cdot s^{d^2 s^2/2} \cdot (2! \cdots (d-1)!)^s \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \right)^{(d^2 s^2 + d^2 s - 2)/2} \cdot (ds)^{dm} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot D_s(b_1, \dots, b_s)^{d^2} \cdot e^{-(ds/2)(b_1^2 + \cdots + b_s^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1. \square

2.1 Some examples

Example 2.2. Using "Mathematica", Proposition 2.1 was tested and confirmed in the case $d = 3$, $s = 2$, $b_1 = 1$ and $b_2 = -1$, and with $n = 3m$ getting larger and larger.

Example 2.3. The case $s = 1$, any d , so $\lambda = (m, \dots, m) = (m^d)$. In this case

$$f^\lambda = \frac{(dm)! \cdot 2! \cdots (d-1)!}{m! \cdot (m+1)! \cdots (m+d-1)!}.$$

By applying Stirling's formula directly we get that as $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$f^\lambda \simeq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{d-1} \cdot 2! \cdots (d-1)! \cdot \sqrt{d} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \right)^{d^2-1} \cdot d^{dm}.$$

This agrees with Proposition 2.1 since the factor $D_s(b_1, \dots, b_s)^{d^2} \cdot e^{-(ds/2)(b_1^2 + \dots + b_s^2)}$ in that proposition equals 1 in this case.

Example 2.4. Here we repeat the proof of Proposition 2.1 - in the case $d = s = 2$, showing more explicitly the various steps of the calculations. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_2) \vdash 2m$, so $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \vdash m$. Let $\lambda_j = \frac{m}{2} + b_j \sqrt{m} \simeq \frac{m}{2}$. In that case we verify directly that

$$f^\lambda \simeq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^3 \cdot 2^{14} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \right)^{11} 4^{2m} \cdot (b_1 - b_2)^4 \cdot e^{-2(b_1^2 + b_2^2)}. \quad (9)$$

Proof. By either the hook formula or by the Young-Frobenius formula

$$f^\lambda = \frac{(2m)! \cdot (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 1) \cdot (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 2)^2 \cdot (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 3)}{(\lambda_1 + 3)! \cdot (\lambda_1 + 2)! \cdot (\lambda_2 + 1)! \cdot \lambda_2!}.$$

Also $\lambda_i + j \simeq m/2$ while $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + j \simeq (b_1 - b_2)\sqrt{m}$, hence

$$f^\lambda \simeq \left(\frac{2}{m} \right)^6 \cdot (b_1 - b_2)^4 \cdot m^2 \cdot \frac{(2m)!}{(\lambda_1!)^2 \cdot (\lambda_2!)^2}. \quad (10)$$

By [5, "Step 3" with $\sqrt{2\pi}$ replacing 2π (page 118)]

$$\frac{m!}{(\lambda_1 + 1)! \cdot \lambda_2} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot 4 \cdot 2^m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^{3/2} \cdot e^{-(b_1^2 + b_2^2)}.$$

Since $\lambda_1 + 1 \simeq m/2$,

$$\frac{m!}{\lambda_1! \cdot \lambda_2!} \simeq \frac{m}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot 4 \cdot 2^m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^{3/2} \cdot e^{-(b_1^2 + b_2^2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot 2 \cdot 2^m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^{1/2} \cdot e^{-(b_1^2 + b_2^2)}.$$

Also

$$\frac{(2m)!}{(m!)^2} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \cdot 2^{2m}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(2m)!}{(\lambda_1!)^2 \cdot (\lambda_2!)^2} &= \left(\frac{m!}{\lambda_1! \cdot \lambda_2!} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{(2m)!}{(m!)^2} \simeq \\ &\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot 2 \cdot 2^m \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^{1/2} \cdot e^{-(b_1^2 + b_2^2)} \right]^2 \cdot \left[\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \cdot 2^{2m} \right] \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\frac{(2m)!}{(\lambda_1!)^2 \cdot (\lambda_2!)^2} \simeq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^3 \cdot 4 \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot 4^{2m} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^{3/2} \cdot e^{-2(b_1^2 + b_2^2)}. \quad (11)$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} f^\lambda &\simeq \left(\frac{2}{m} \right)^6 \cdot m^2 \cdot (b_1 - b_2)^4 \cdot \frac{(2m)!}{(\lambda_1!)^2 \cdot (\lambda_2!)^2} \simeq \\ &\simeq \left(\frac{2}{m} \right)^6 \cdot m^2 \cdot (b_1 - b_2)^4 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^3 \cdot 4 \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot 4^{2m} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^{3/2} \cdot e^{-2(b_1^2 + b_2^2)} = \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^3 \cdot 2^{14} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{11} \cdot 4^{2m} \cdot (b_1 - b_2)^4 \cdot e^{-2(b_1^2 + b_2^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which verifies (9). □

3 Asymptotics for the general sums

As in [5, Theorem 3.2], Proposition 2.1 implies

Theorem 3.1. [5, Corollary 4.4 corrected] Let $\Omega(s) \subset \mathbb{R}^s$ denote the following domain:

$$\Omega(s) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s \mid x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_s \text{ and } x_1 + \dots + x_s = 0\}.$$

Also recall Definition 1.1. Then, as $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{d,ds}^{(\alpha)}(dm) &\simeq \\ &\simeq \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{ds-1} \cdot \sqrt{d} \cdot s^{d^2 s^2 / 2} \cdot (2! \dots (d-1)!)^s \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \right)^{(d^2 s^2 + d^2 s - 2)/2} \cdot (ds)^{dm} \right]^\alpha \cdot (\sqrt{m})^{s-1} \cdot I(d^2, s, \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I(d^2, s, \alpha) = \int_{\Omega(s)} \left[D_s(x_1, \dots, x_s)^{d^2} \cdot e^{-(ds/2)(x_1^2 + \dots + x_s^2)} \right]^\alpha \cdot dx_1 \dots dx_{s-1}.$$

Remark 3.2. Note that by [5, Section 4] and by the Selberg integral [1], [3], [6]

$$I(d^2, s, \alpha) = \left(\frac{d}{s}\right)^{(s-1)(\alpha s+2)/4} \cdot \frac{d}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{s!} \cdot \\ \cdot (2\pi)^{s/2} \cdot (d^2\alpha)^{-s/2-d^2\alpha s(s-1)/4} \cdot (\Gamma(1+d^2\alpha/2))^{-s} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^s \Gamma(1+d^2\alpha j/2).$$

Thus Theorem 3.1 can be rewritten as follows.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $1 \leq s, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 < \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, as $m \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$T_{d,ds}^{(\alpha)}(dm) \simeq \\ \simeq \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{ds-1} \cdot \sqrt{d} \cdot s^{d^2 s^2/2} \cdot (2! \cdots (d-1)!)^s \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\right)^{(d^2 s^2 + d^2 s - 2)/2} \cdot (ds)^{dm} \right]^\alpha \cdot \\ \cdot (\sqrt{m})^{s-1} \cdot \left(\frac{d}{s}\right)^{(s-1)(\alpha s+2)/4} \cdot \frac{d}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{s!} \cdot \\ \cdot (2\pi)^{s/2} \cdot (d^2\alpha)^{-s/2-d^2\alpha s(s-1)/4} \cdot (\Gamma(1+d^2\alpha/2))^{-s} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^s \Gamma(1+d^2\alpha j/2).$$

3.1 Some special cases

3.1.1 The case $s = 1$

Let $s = 1$. In that case $B_{d,d}(dm) = \{\lambda\}$ where $\lambda = (m, \dots, m) = (m^d)$. Thus, for Theorem 3.1 to hold, the product of the factors after the factor $[\dots]^\alpha$ should equal 1, which is easy to verify.

3.1.2 The sums $S_s^{(\alpha)}(m)$

In the case $d = 1$, in the notations of [5], $T_{1,s}^{(\alpha)}(m) = S_s^{(\alpha)}(m)$, and Theorem 3.3 becomes

Theorem 3.4. [5, Corollary 4.4]. *Let $d = 1$, $1 \leq s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, as $m \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$T_{1,s}^{(\alpha)}(m) = S_s^{(\alpha)}(m) \simeq \\ \simeq \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{s-1} \cdot s^{s^2/2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\right)^{(s^2+s-2)/2} \cdot s^m \right]^\alpha \cdot (\sqrt{m})^{s-1} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{(s-1)(\alpha s+2)/4} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{s!} \cdot \\ \cdot (2\pi)^{s/2} \cdot \alpha^{-s/2-\alpha s(s-1)/4} \cdot (\Gamma(1+\alpha/2))^{-s} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^s \Gamma(1+\alpha j/2).$$

This agrees with the asymptotic value of $S_s^{(\alpha)}(m)$ as given by [5, Corollary 4.4] in the case $d = 1$.

3.1.3 The case $d = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$

Theorem 3.5. *Let $d = \alpha = 1$, then as $m \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{1,s}^{(1)}(m) &\simeq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{s-1} \cdot s^{s^2/2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\right)^{(s^2+s-2)/2} \cdot s^m \cdot (\sqrt{m})^{s-1} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{(s-1)(s+2)/4} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{s!} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot (2\pi)^{s/2} \cdot (\Gamma(1 + 1/2))^{-s} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^s \Gamma(1 + j/2) = \\ &= (\sqrt{s})^{s(s-1)/2} \cdot \frac{1}{s!} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\right)^{s(s-1)/2} \cdot s^m \cdot (\Gamma(1 + 1/2))^{-s} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^s \Gamma(1 + j/2), \end{aligned}$$

which agrees with [5, (F.4.5.1)].

3.1.4 The case $d = 1$, $\alpha = 2$

Consider the case $d = 1$ and $\alpha = 2$ (any s), then

$$T_{1,s}^{(2)}(n) \simeq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{s-1} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{s^2-1} \cdot (\sqrt{s})^{s^2} \cdot 2! \cdots (s-1)! \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{s^2-1} \cdot s^{2n}.$$

For example, when $s = 2$ we have

$$T_{1,2}^{(2)}(n) \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} \cdot 4^n.$$

In this case we know [4, page 64] that $T_{1,2}^{(2)}(n) = (2n)!/(n! \cdot (n+1)!) = C_n$, the n -th Catalan number, and by applying Stirling's formula directly, we obtain the same asymptotic value.

3.1.5 The case $s = d = 2$ and $\alpha = 1$

The case $s = d = 2$ and $\alpha = 1$. By Theorem 3.3

$$\begin{aligned} T_{2,4}^{(1)}(2m) &\simeq \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^3 \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot 2^8 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\right)^{11} \cdot (4)^{2m} \right] \cdot (\sqrt{m}) \cdot \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\pi \cdot 4^{-3} \cdot \frac{2! \cdot 4!}{2! \cdot 2!} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot 24 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^5 \cdot 4^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that sequence A005700 of [7] gives the following remarkable identity:

$$T_{2,4}^{(1)}(2m) = \frac{6 \cdot (2m)! \cdot (2m+2)!}{m! \cdot (m+1)! \cdot (m+2)! \cdot (m+3)!}. \quad (12)$$

Applying Stirling's formula to the right-hand-side of (12) we obtain the same asymptotic value:

$$\frac{6 \cdot (2m)! \cdot (2m+2)!}{m! \cdot (m+1)! \cdot (m+2)! \cdot (m+3)!} \simeq \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot 24 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^5 \cdot 4^{2m},$$

thus verifying Theorem 3.3 in this case.

References

- [1] P. J. Forrester and S. O. Warnaar, The importance of the Selberg integral. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 45 (2008), no. 4, 489–534.
- [2] G. James and A. Kerber, The Representation Theory of the symmetric Group, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 16, 1981.
- [3] A. M. Garsia and N. Wallach, The non-degeneracy of the bilinear form of m -Quasi-Invariants, *Advances in Applied Math*, vol. **37**, (2006) 309–360.
- [4] D. E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*, Vol. 3, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1968.
- [5] A. Regev, Asymptotic values for degrees associated with strips of Young diagrams, *Advances in Math.* **41**, No 2 (1981) 115-136
- [6] A. Selberg, Bemerkninger om et multipelt integral, *Norsk Mat. Tidsskr.* **26** (1944), 71-78.
- [7] N.J.A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences.
- [8] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics Vol. 2*, Cambridge Univ. Press 1999.

A. Regev, Department of Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel
 e-mail: amitai.regev at weizmann.ac.il